UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,138	08/22/2006	Sebastian Obermanns	2003P16866	5733
24131 7590 03/11/2011 LERNER GREENBERG STEMER LLP P O BOX 2480 HOLL WWOOD, EL 22022 2480			EXAMINER	
			LEBASSI, AMANUEL	
HOLLYWOOD, FL 33022-2480			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/590,138	OBERMANNS, SEBASTIAN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	AMANUEL LEBASSI	2617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Oc 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 10-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08/22/2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	accepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary			
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/590,138 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gupta US 20040043782 in view of Yonge, III. US 6987770 and in further view of Wiedeman et al. US 5634190.

Regarding claim 10, Gupta discloses a method for circuit switch transmission in a self- organizing radio network with at least a first and a second radio coverage area (paragraph [0009] - first cell having a first base station and a second cell having a second base station), and at least one mobile communication device for each radio coverage area (paragraph [00009] -first cell having a first base station and a second cell having a second base station having devices and Fig. 1). Gupta discloses operating a first central control device in the first radio coverage area and a second central control device in the second radio coverage area, for centrally controlling an assignment of

Art Unit: 2617

transmission channels assigned to the respective radio coverage area (see Fig. 1 where Base station 110 is operating under Base station controller 1 therefore first central control device and base station 105 is operating under Base station controller 2 therefore second central control device). Gupta discloses operating in each of the first and second radio coverage areas mobile communication devices forming intermediate stations for forwarding to the second radio coverage area data originating from the first radio coverage area (see Fig. 1, and paragraph [0021] - relay stations 125 c and 125 b) and thereby operating the first central control device to control the transmission channels available to the first radio coverage area, both for transmitting data between the first central control device and the station and for transmitting data between the station and the second central control device (paragraph [0044] where a relay or intermediate device is configured to relay a plurality of messages associated with a plurality of other wireless communication devices along a plurality of adaptive relay paths therefore transmitting data between the intermediate station and the second central control device).

Gupta discloses the communication circuitry may transmit and receive messages that include voice, video and data information but is silent on packet-switched data transmission (**paragraph [0043]**). Yonge teaches packet-switched data transmission (**col. 6, lines 66-67 – packet switched**).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Gupta and have it include

Art Unit: 2617

packet-switched data transmission. The motivation would have been in order to switch channels more efficiently when data is compressed (paragraph [0003]).

Gupta discloses a station but not an intermediate station. However Wiedeman teaches an intermediate station (abstract, where a relay station (70) that is positioned within an overlap of at least two satellite coverage areas for relaying a communication from a gateway (18A) associated with a first coverage area to a gateway (18B) associated with a second coverage area).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Gupta and have it include an intermediate station. The motivation would have been in order to enable a ground-based receiver to simultaneously receive a communication signal from and transmit a communication signal through a plurality of satellites whose coverage areas overlap (col. 1, lines 30-34).

Regarding claim 11, Yonge teaches transmitting control data appended in the transmission with the first central control device on a separate transmission channel (col. 3, lines 18-26).

Regarding claim 12, Yonge teaches wherein the separate transmission channel is an FCH channel (col. 3, lines 18-25 where the frame forwarding can further include selecting the intermediate station for frame forwarding from among the stations that can communicate with the second station

Art Unit: 2617

using connection information based on characteristics of a respective first channel connection between each station and the second station and a second channel connection between each station and the first station).

Regarding claim 13, Yonge teaches if the FCH channel cannot be received by the second central control device, appending with the intermediate station control data for the second central control device to the data to be forwarded (col. 3, lines 18-25)

Regarding claim 14, the combination of above discloses adding to the control data at least one of an address of the second central control device and a format of the data to be forwarded (see above).

Regarding claim 15, Yonge discloses analyzing the control data in the intermediate station (col. 1, lines 33-37).

Regarding claim 16, Yonge discloses analyzing the control data in the second central control device (paragraph [0015]).

Regarding claim 17, Yonge discloses operating the radio network using central medium access control in accordance with a standard selected from the

group consisting of IEEE 802.11 standard, IEEE 802.16, Hiperlan/2, and a standard derived therefrom (col. 14, lines 57-59).

Regarding claim 18, Wiedeman teaches an intermediate station configured for carrying out the method (see Fig. 10).

Regarding claim 19, Gupta discloses A central control device configured for carrying out the method (paragraph [0015] and Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 19, Gupta discloses wherein the intermediate station is part of the first radio coverage area and the second radio coverage area (paragraph [0021] where a relay device could be in a cell border).

Regarding claim 20, Wiedeman teaches wherein the intermediate station is part of the first radio coverage area and the second radio coverage area (abstract and Fig. 6 where the relay station is on the overlapping area of first radio coverage area and the second radio coverage area).

Conclusion

1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Amanuel Lebassi, whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/590,138 Page 7

Art Unit: 2617

270-5303. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00am to

5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Nick Corsaro can be reached at (571) 272-7876. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-

8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or 703-305-3028.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone

number is (571) 272-2600.

Amanuel Lebassi

/A. L./

03/09/2011

/HUY PHAN/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617